Interview Summary	10/553,957	CHHABADA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Golam M. M. Shameem, Ph.D.	1626
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Golam M. M. Shameem, Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) Nicolas E. Seckel	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 July 2008.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: All pending claims.		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed the rejection of record. Applicant point out the novelity of the claimed process</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
		•
Evaminar Nata: Vau must sign this form unless it is an	/Golam M. M. Shameem, Ph. Primary Examiner, Art Unit 16 Examiner's signature, if requi	526
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	LAGITITICE S SIGNATURE, II requi	eu

Application No.

Applicant(s)